App F IX ±

Previous References

e B Defs No 106/41-42

1941 (Jul)

File No II 9 -41

Serial Nos 28, 29, 141, 162,

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos

Part B for June 42

SUBJECT

petition re The Sale of sites in the chunkamam Town ship

To,

Mr D e Kolan

L 11481/B 6 42

Approves of the Sale of sites with certain observation.

4675/L

Petition re the Sale of Sites in the Chintamani Town Mkly

General and Revenue Secretariat

MC File No 119 of 1941-42 [App F III-2

Serial No 28

Petition dt 12.2.1942 from M/s V C Channakeraboiah Gunal and other members of the Chintamani Town Municipal Council stating that the Mc Council have resolved on 4.2.42 to convert the Coronation tank at the place into sites which are proposed to be sold on the 16th inst and praying that the resolution of the Mc Cl may be refused as the town would be deprived of a cure amenity and a stay order issued in the meanwhile

Orders of the Minister. Dtd

1 A report may be called for in the matter early. Dtd 17.2.42

Dtd 14.2.42

2 A report will be called for as directed above, from the D.C Kolar Distt.

3 Orders for orders if a stay order can be given.

Law Secy 1 for Minister

4 The sale of sites will be held on 16th inst the purpose of the petition will be frustrated if the sale is allowed to take place. Third parties' interests will complicate the situation.

5 The sale may be postponed pending

NOTE—Cont Sheet

WD 04155—GPB—55 000—23 10 41

Petn re Conversion of the Coronation park at Chintamani into bldg sites 486/25

File No 119 of 1941 | General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

the disposal of the petition

Minister (L-S G)

Hm 2 14 2 42

6) It is not advisable to move Stay orders in such cases when there are no orders passed or any official records connected therewith

Hm 14 2 42

Draft to day pl

16/2/42

7) Hm 2 16/2/42

8) A draft O N is submitted for appl

Issue today

16/2/42

Gen 16/2

To, The DC Kolar Dt

L 7380 dt 16 2-42

Calls for a report

From The D C Kolar Dist

Letter No A5-C 209/41-42 Dated 4 4 42

Fds the report called for

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H
Dated 14.2.42
No 4891

Sec A 6/3/42

5949
R

General and Revenue Secretariat

Petn re the sale of sites formed in the Coronation Park in the Chintamani Town Mly

Mly File No 119 of 1941 [App F III-2

Serial No

9) The P.W.D is self-explanatory and the facts of the case are clearly fully and clearly set out in it It is submitted for kind perusal

10) The objections of the petitioners to the formation and sale of sites in the Coronation park at Chintamani were three-fold viz (a) that the place was associated with the name of His Majesty King Emperor-they called the Coronation park (b) that the mlty had spent a sum of Rs 4000 for the formation of the park and that the (c) proposal of the ml Council to form and dispose of sites in the park would deprive the public of an important open amenity.

11) The D.C states that there is nothing on record to prove the truth of any of the allegations made and the public would not be deprived of a open amenity as there are already two well laid-out parks at the place Inf In fact the place was insanitary and was being used for committing nuisance and for dumping manure and he is of opinion that the ml Council have done the right thing The present petition seems to be the outcome of some prejudice against the Amildar-President in connection with the electioneering The Vice-Prest of the Mly

'X' refers to in the D C's letter dy 22/42 to the Chief Secretary to Govt

NOTE—Cont Sheet

W D (4155- 61 B- 55 000—93 10 41

Petn re. the sale of sites formed at the
5969 Coronation Park at Chentamani town.

File No 119 of 194)

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

12) The sale of the 7 sites out of 9 sites was held on the 16/6/42 and the a sum of Rs 7030 has been received in full The sale is reported to have been confirmed by the Mnl Council on 5 3 42 I Flag

This sum is required for certain urgent improvement work at the place The remaining two sites are proposed to be reserved for living space as per suggestion of the D.C

13) In view of these facts the D.C. requests that the action taken by the Mnl Council may be approved and sanction accorded to the sale of sites Nos 1, 2, 4 & 5 the sale value of those which exceeds Rs 1000 in each case A May be approved Hm 11/4

14) The petition may perhaps be rejected and the action taken by the Mnl Council approved, as there are no sufficient reasons to interfere in the matter B The petition may be rejected Hm 11/4

15) submitted for orders Draft 9/4 to the orders of the Minister for L & ESP

16) A & B - may be approved Law Secy) 9/4 Hm 10/4/42

W

GEOY LAW DEPT 3007 13 APR 42 GOVT OF INDIA

17) A & B may be approved Minister) L S S Hm 11/4/42

18) was the previous sanction of GOI necessary for the sale of the sites The Secy papers relating to D.O Letter dated 22/6/42 may be perused 13/6/42 Hm

* necessary for the sale of the sites

FROM OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY TO GOVT OF INDIA FINANCE DEPT D 11 4 1942 No 6345

19) Hm 20) 14/6/42

Baseless Petition re: the Sale of plots formed in the Coronation Park at Chintamani Town

General and Revenue Secretariat — MC File No 119 of 1941-42 — [App F III-2

Serial No

21) The Prof B may be requested kindly to put up the papers leading to the DO letter dated 22/1/42 (Flag 'X') from the D.C Kolar Dist to the Chief Secretary to Govt.

Vide Minister's orders above.

Sat, 15/4

22) A — yes

15/4/42

Prof B.

15/4

23) Please put up the papers concerned.

3
15/4/42

24) Hon'ble Minister — that the letter flagged 'X' has come from the Confidential Branch — the file will therefore be sent to the C B for putting up the papers leading to DO letter D/22 1-42 / C B

MR Correct

Xavier 16/4

25) Please ascertain papers & put up a Concerned Note thereon

3
16/4/42

26) C B dept 106 of 41 may be kindly got from the Govt Records

B 16/4/42 (Robin Brandt)

27) C B Dept no 106/41 containing the letter dated 22-1-42 is herewith put up

Xavier 16/4

28) For kind perusal

Minister L S G (Thro Secretary)

3
17/4/42

29) Hon 2 17/4/42

30) Why A has not been examined

Hon 18/4

19/4/42

31) Hon 20/4/42

SECY LA / DEPT 125 17 APR 42

GOVT OF MYSORE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H Date 17.4.1942 No 6486

NOTE—Cont Sheet

WD 1415 - GIB—55 000—93 10-41

Sale of Site in the Chintamani Town Mlg.

File No 19 59491/2 of 1941 | General and Revenue Secretariat C

Serial No

4c There is one point however to be noticed viz, that this land was originally used as Jubilee Park in the Chintamani Town Municipality Before the Municipality could convert that into dwelling-sites from the park, it was its duty to have obtained the permission of the Government to do so The action of the Municipality is not quite proper It is however left to the Government to ratify the action taken by the Municipality or not

Munstr (L S G)

Hm 2 16/5/42 Pm

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H
Date 16-5-1942
No 7235

41) As per Sec 38 clause (2) the previous sanction of Govt should have been obtained further previous sanction was necessary before the municipal council authorised the sites for residential quarters when the same was reserved for a park in the name of His Majesty the proceedings in this case was highly irregular and should be pointed out to the concerned officers

42) As however the sale has taken

re Sale of Lots formed in the Coronation Park at Chittaranjan

5949/L

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No 119 of 194 — [App F III-2

Serial No	
	plans and confirmed also by the Land Commr, the action taken is approved as a special case.
	V-G, 25.5.42
6259	(13) For perusal Deputy Secy 25-5-42
	Nil 29/5/42
	29 5 42
	Draft letter flag F
	Draft submd for appl Secy 26/5
	For perusal before issue Secy / Secy / Secy
[Leg Emergency leave]	
	To, The Dy Collr Dr L 11481 Dy 8/6/42 Approves of the sale of sites with certain observations

NOTE—Cover Sheet B

WD 04155—GPB—5 000—23 10 41

File No. of 194

Serial No

COURT FEE ONE RUPEE

COURT FEE ONE RUPEE

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR L S G & P H
Date 12 Feb 1942
No 2974

MU 119-41-28 24675/L

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore

His Petn No of 1941-42.

14 FEB 1942 BANGALORE

A report may be called for in the matter early

12.2.42

Petitioners.

Messrs. V.C Channaveerappadrappa, Municipal Councillor and R A Member Chintamani

(2). M C Anjaneyareddy, Municipal Councillor, Ex-Vice-President Chintamani,

(3) M.Muniswamiah, Municipal Councillor Chintamani.

The petitioners pray that for the reasons mentioned in the accompanying affidavit the Government may be pleased to pass an Ad Interim order of stay in respect of sale of sites in Krishna Raja Extension, Chintamani by the President, Municipal Council, Chintamani

V C Channaveerappadrappa
On behalf of Muniswamiah

Bangalore,
12/2/1942

Petitioners

V C Channaveerappadrappa
On behalf of Muniswamiah

Before the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Mis. Petn No. of 1941-42.

Petitioners

Messrs V.C Chennaveerabhadrappa,
Municipal Councillor and
R.A.Member

(2) M C AnjaneyaReddy,
Municipal Councillor, Ex Vice
President

(3).M.Muniswamaiah,Municipal
Councillor,Chintamani

The petitioners beg to state on oath as follows :-

1. They have filed this petition regarding the contemplated destruction of the Coronation Park in KrishnaRaja Extension,Chintamani The Circumstances under which the Resolution has been passed is detailed in the petition which may be read as part of this affidavit

2 The Land is proposed to be cut up into sites and sold, on 16-2-1942.

3. The public are opposed to this and it will cause incalculable injury to public interest if it is given effect to.

4. Pending disposal of the petition it is urgently necessary to stop the Municipality from proceeding further.

5. It is prayed that the Government be pleased to pass an Ad Interim Stay order.

Bangalore,
d/- 12th Feby.42.

V C Chennaveerappa

Petitioner.

Sworn to Before me

BR 12/2/42



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF H H.THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

Mis. Petn No. of 1941-42

Messrs

Petitioner :- V C Chennaveerabhadrappa, Municipal Councillor , and R A Member

(2) M G Anjaneya Reddy, Municipal Councillor, Ex-Vice President Chintamani.

(3).M.Munisamiah, Municipal Councillor,Chintamani

The petitioners most respectfully beg to submit as follows -

1 They are members of the Chintamani Municipal Council The first petitioner is also a Member of the Mysore Representative Assembly They are all very respectable members of Chintamani.

2 There is in the Chintamani Town, a Park known as the Coronation Park which was founded in commemoration of the Cornation of ef His late Majesty King George V, A sum of Rs 4000/- has been spent thereon for making the park

3. A proposal was placed before the Municipal Council by the President,that this park should be laid out into sites and sold. Since it was very useful as a Park and hundreds of people enjoyed the benefit of it every day and the same

had become associated with the name and Coronation of a Great King, there was considerable legitimate opposition to the proposal to convert any portion of it into house sites and thus dismember the park.

4 The petitioners and several other Citizes put in a petition voicing their legitimate objections to the proposal A copy of this petition is herewith attached

5 It can be seen from the above petition that there is nothing which could be taken exception to in the language or manner of the protest

6 Somehow the president took a hostile attitude from the beginning to the protest of these - petitioners and under his guidance a resolution has been passed by the Council on 4-2-42 in the following terms

"The petition was read and the contents of the statement contained were explained to the Council and it is seen therefrom that objectionable language has been used against the Municipality and some of the innocent Citizens have been mis-lead

The Council regrets to note the action taken by the three Municipal Councillors V.C Channa - veerabhadrappa, M.C Anjaneya Reddy and M Huntsanaiya and vehemently condemns their irresponsible action "

7 It is ~~absolutely~~ respectfully submitted that the above resolution is uncalled for, unwarranted and wholly unjustifiable It is extremely improper in form and defamatory of the petitioners.

8 It is submitted that it was the legitimate right of the petitioners to urge their protest as strongly and earnestly as possible, and the language of their petition did not in any manner warrant thus resolution The resolution and the manner of it is at the instance of the President who has somehow become hostile and who is bent on cutting down the old trees in the Park and dismembér the Park, is wholly unjustifiable

9 It is very respectfully submitted that this scheme is detrimental to the best interests of the Town and the Public are also opposed to it since it deprives them of ~~the~~ a very necessary civic amenity of a Park, which has ~~however~~ also become a place of public resort

10 The petitioners most humbly pray that the Government be pleased to interfere and stay further action in regard to the destruction of the park in the interest of the Public and also be pleased to send for the minutes of the proceedings of the meeting of the Chintamani Municipal Council of 4-2-42 and nullify the same by passing such - orders thereon as may be deemed necessary

A copy of the Resolution and the petitione was applied for and the President has not been obliging even in regard to this

Yours faithfully
V C Chennaveeraradhya

Bangalore,
d/- 12th Feby 42

MR 119-41-29

App F G 9]

GOVT OF H H THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

No 17360/Gen Secy Appeal Original

The accompanying Petition Registered

No 4675/2 dated 12 2 42

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from M/s Channaveerubhadrappa and other members of the Chintamani Town Mal Cl. preferred appeal against the decision of the local Mal Council to Convert the Corporation park into sites, to be proposed for sale Sold on the 16th inst etc and praying that the proposal may be vetoed as it is Calculated to deprive the people of an important civic amenity

Cy for G. Ramachandra Rao

is forwarded together with its enclosures to the D C. Kolar Dist for an early and detailed report in the matter

2. The petn may be kindly returned with the report

By Order,

for Secretary to Govt
Law Dept

BANGALORE,
16th Feb 1942

[P T O

WD 03623—GPB—3 750—6 8 3b

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ .. ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

.. .. ಜೋಬಿ

.. .. ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.. .. ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ

ಮರುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.. ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

5-949/1-

6 APR 1942 L S G BRANCH

Telegraphic Address

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT,

No A.5 C 2079/41-42
Encls FILE

Date 3rd April 1942

MM 119-41-147

To

H V.NARAYANA RAO, Ldqr , B.A , LL B ,
Secretary to the Government Of Lysore,
LAW DEPARTMENT, B A N G A L O R E

SECY LAW DEPT 6 APR 42 GOVT OF MYSORE

Sir,

Subject - Sale of sites in the Sri Krishnarāja Extension in Chintamani Town

---

With reference to your official memorandum No L 7380/M1 119-41-39, dated 16/2/1942, calling for a report on the petition of Mr V C Channaveerabhadrappa and two other members of the Chintamani Town Municipal council protesting against the decision of the Municipal Council to dispose of certain sites in the town for building purposes and requesting to veto their resolution in the matter, etc , I have the honour to enclose herein the entire file of records relating to the subject received from the President of the Municipality together with his two reports Nos H C 62/41-42, dated 17th March 1942 in original and to state as follows -

On 30/1/1942, a petition signed by these three Municipal Councillors and certain other residents of Chintamani Town was received in this office protesting against the proposed formation and sale of sites for building purposes in what was alleged to have been the Coronation Park and requesting to issue a stay order to the Municipality pending my personal inspection of the spot and examination of the grounds of their objections On 2/2/1942, these three Municipal Councillors interviewed me at Kolar and personally represented their objections to giving effect to the decision of the Municipal Council The President of the Municipality was then instructed not to conduct the sale of sites on 3/2/1942 as had been decided by the Municipal Council until I had looked into the matter

The spot was inspected by me on 3/2/1942 and the petitioner's objections were examined with reference to records It was found that

P.T.O.

with a view to relieve the congestion in the heart of the town and to meet the increasing demand for building sites, the Municipal Council had resolved at their meeting held on 5/1/1942 to form building sites in the open area between the Vyasa Hostel and the S r i Krishnarajendra Extension and in accordance with this resolution actic was taken to remove a few trees which stood in that area and the area was marked out into 9 sites The sale of the sites was fixed for 3/2/1942

It is stated by the petitioners that this open space was called the King George V Coronation Park and they object to its being made use of for residential quarters On enquiry it was found that no park has been in existence in that area from several years past and a few trees were all that remained of what might once possibly have been the Coronation Park The place has been allowed to remain in a very insanitar condition and it is being used by the Agricultural classes of the townspeople for dumping manure. Decent dwelling houses have sprung up very close to this area and it is therefore necessary to keep it clean and tidy in the interests of health and confort of the residents of this locality Of the 9 sites formed, only 7 have been disposed of keeping the remaining two as lung space as per my suggestions

In regard to the objection that if the " Coronation Park " were to be given away for residential quarters the citizens would be deprived of a civic amenity, it may be stated that two very decent parks with radio facilities and other amenities have been provided by the Municipal Council and these parks are generally resorted to by the Public for purposes of recreation No inconvenience will therefore be caused to the public in this respect.

The contention of the petitioners that the Municipality had spent Rs 4000/- for the formation of the Coronation Park is not borne out by the records It is not known whether sanction of Government was obtained to associate the park with the name of His Late Majesty, King George V. On the other hand the Municipality has realized a sum of

Rs

Rs 7030/- by forming and disposing of sites in this area

Considering these factors it is desirable to allow the construction of houses in this area instead of allowing it to remain in its present state of disuse or misuse The sale was held on 16th February 1942 and the full amount, Viz , Rs 7030/- (including the value of trees) has been realized and credited to Municipal funds The Municipality is hard pressed for funds as several demands of an urgent and obligatory nature, such as (1) Municipal quota of Rs 6,500/- towards the cost of improvement of Water supply, (2) Rs 1500/- being the arrears of cost of sanitary fittings to the New Hospital, (3) Rs 1800/- towards the cost of providing a Bus Stand and waiting shed as per approved type - There is therefore every need to tap fresh sources of revenue to enable the Municipality to meet these demands In this view, also, the Municipal Council have done the proper thing in disposing of sites in the Sri Krishnaraja Extension thereby realizing a sum of over Rs 7000/-

The sale of the sites has been confirmed by the Municipal council at their meeting held on 5/3/1942 and the Municipal - Council pray that their action may be approved by Government and necessary sanction accorded under Section 38 (1) of the Town - Municipalities Act 1933, to the sale of the sites in cases where the value exceeds Rs 1000/- A copy of the resolution dated 5th March 1942, is enclosed in the records for kind perusal

It has to be stated in this connection that the decision to form and dispose of sites in the area in question was taken by the Municipal Council for the first time at their meeting held on 5th January 1942 when these three Municipal Councillors were absent These councillors subsequently agitated to have the proceedings of the meeting annulled But as there were no sufficient grounds for such interference with the proceedings of the Municipal Council the members were endorsed accordingly

P T.O

after reporting the matter to Government. A co
office D C Letter No.A 5 C 1841/40-41, dated 23/i
addressed to the Chief Secretary to Government In thi
is enclosed herein for favour of perusal

In the circumstances stated above, approval of Gov
may be kindly obtained to the action of the Municipal Cou

The petition received from you is retransmitted here
as desired

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ,
KOLAP DISTRICT

DPR

Letter No D O A5 C 1841/41-42 dated 22nd January 1942 from camp Oorgaum) from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar Dt , to the Chief Secretary to Government

---

2313 BP 6P
CS Ecf-106-41

With reference to Mr Varadarajan's D O letter No 2534/C B dated 20th January 1942 requesting to ascertain and intimate the purpose for which an interview with the Dewan is sought by Mr V C Channaveerabhadrappa and four others of Chintamani, I write to state that a telegram was received in this office on the 5th inst at 10-40 p m from the same person and another by name Mr M C Anjaneya Reddy, another Municipal Councillor, complaining of omission on the part of the Amildar-President and the Vice President of the Municipality to conduct the meeting of the Municipal Council even at 4-40 p m when the scheduled time for the meeting was 4 p m on that day The telegram was followed by a petition dated 6th January 1942 signed by the two above named individuals and another Municipal Councillor, Mr Munisamiah reiterating the same complaint and stating that the meeting of the Municipal Council was conducted by the Amildar-President at 5 p m after they (the petitioners) had left the Municipal Office The petitioners requested that the proceedings of the said meeting of the Municipal Council might be annulled as the meeting was conducted in their -- absence This was followed by a further petition dated 12th January 1942 from the same persons reiterating the same -- request The Amildar-President of the Municipality was requested to furnish his remarks on the allegations made by the petitioners and his report has been since received (Vide his D O letter No H C 55/41-42 dated 10th January 1942) will be seen therefrom that there was some unavoidable delay in commencing the proceedings of the meeting as he was -- engaged in some other important official work and that these three Municipal Councillors did not choose to remain till then but went away leaving a note to the President requesting to adjourn the meeting But as there was sufficient quorum

for the

the meeting even after these members had left, the President thought that there was no sufficient reason for adjourning the meeting and got through it Apart from some delay in commencing the meeting there seems to have been no other irregularity in the conduct of the meeting and there were no sufficient grounds to interfere with the proceedings of the Council In a further petition dated 12th January '42 Mr. Channaveerabhadrappa wants to be informed of the results of his telegram and petitions and on endorssment will be issued to him as above from this office.

From confidential enquiries made by me it has come to knowledge that Mr Channaveerabhadrappa has some grudge - against the Amildar-President of the Municipality thinking that the latter used his personal influence in favour of Mr K. Ramasesha Sastry as against the former during the recent election to the Vice Presidentship of the Municipal Council which resulted in favour of Mr Ramasesha Sastry Mr Channaveerabhadrappa and his two friends are said to be interesting themselves in the other activities of the Amildar, such as, disposal of contracts and payment of bills in respect of well-works under the Rural Water Supply Scheme with a view to send up complaints against him The Amildar reported some time back that they were visiting Drinking Water Well Works taking measurements and telling people that they would get the - Amildar into trouble.

It is presumably with a view to represent these matters against the Amildar that they have sought an interview with the Dewan

The records relating to the alleged irregularity in the conduct of the Municipal meeting referred to above together with the report of the President thereon in originall are enclosed herein for favour of reference and return

****

"COPY" S. Varadarajan

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, KOLAR DIST

pr

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section ML

File No 119—41

Serial No 142

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 11481 Dt. The D C-Kolar Dt.

To Sir, with records Kolar.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Subject. Sale of sites in the Srl Krishnaraja Extension Chintamani Town Dtly

Date of issue 8/6/42

Signed by M S Rao

With ref to your letter no A5=C2079/41-42 dated 3 4 1942 forwarding a report on the above subject, I am directed to observe that the procedure adopted in regard to the sale of sites in Chintamani town was laid down in Govt circular no' as much as previous sanction of Govt was necessary before the sites could be put to auction by the Municipal Council, auctioned sites for residential purposes

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

laid out the Plots in the area and

especially
When the same was reserved for
a park in the name of His Majesty the King-Emperor
Under section 38(2) of the Town Planning Act 1933.
previous sanction of Govt
should have been obtained for.
~~the State of acts in the Italy~~
As the sale has however
taken place and has been confirmed also
by the Municipal Council ~~of the~~
the action taken is ~~approved~~
as a special case
The records accompany

I have etc

~~For~~ Secy to Govt.
Law Deptt

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L 11481 / Jud 119-41-142 *Dated Bangalore,* 8th June 1942

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Law Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District,
Kolar.

SUBJECT Sale of sites in the Sri Krishnaraja Extension in Chintamani Town Municipality.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.A5-C.2079/41-42 dated 3rd April 1942, fofwarding a report on the above subject, I am directed to observe that the procedure adopted in regard to the said sale of sites in the Chintamani Town was highly irregular in as much as previous sanction of Government was necessary before the Municipal Council laid out the sites in the area and auctioned them for constructing buildings for residential purposes, especially when the same was reserved for a park in the name of His Majesty the King Emperor. Under Section 38(2) of the Town Municipalities Act, 1933 also previous sanction of Government should have been obtained therefor. This may be pointed out to the Officers concerned

As the sale has however taken place and has been confirmed also by the Municipal Council, Government are pleased to approve of the action taken, as a special case

The records accompany

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Law Department

SR/2